2-00 P.M.

SRI S. S. KOLKEBAIL.—In view of the present policy of enforcing prohibition and sending prohibition offenders to Jail, will the Government introduce any other alternative method to attain the end of prohibition?

SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—This matter deserves serious consideration.

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—Have the Government thought of scrapping prohibition?

SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—The Government have not made up their mind either way.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎಂ. ದೇವ್.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಟೀಮ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈಚೆಗೆ ಪ್ರೊಹಿಬಿಷನ್ ರೂಲ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸ ಮಾಡಿದುದರಿಂದ ಸಮಾಜ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಚಿವರು ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಯ್ತೆ? ಅದಕ್ಕೂ ಇದಕ್ಕೂ ಏನಾದರೂ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿದೆಯೇ?

MR. SPEAKER.—I will tell the House, it is very likely there will be a statement tomorrow.

ಶ್ರೀ ಹೆಚ್. ಆರ್. ಕೇಶವ ಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ಸಮಾಜ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರೊಹಿಬಿಷನನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಿದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ತರಹ ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕಿದ ಪೊಲಿಟಿಕಲ್ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಗಳಿಗೆ ವೋಟು ಕೊಡಕೂಡದು ಎಂದು ಮಹಿಳೆಯರಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

MR. SPEAKER.—The question of vote does not come under this question. Question hour is over.

QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Saving Schemes effected at Government Level.

*Q.—83. SRI B. BHASKAR SHETTY (Kaup);—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) the various saving schemes effected at the Government level, on account of the present National Emergency;

(*b*) the estimated amount of savings per month on account of the above schemes;

(*c*) when the said schemes were started and how long they would be continued?

A.—SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Finance).—

(*a*) Copies of Government Orders regarding Economy Measures are placed on the Table of the House. Copy placed in the Mysore Legislature Library (*vide* No. 5, dated 4th February 1966).

(SRI RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE)

(b) Savings per month cannot be calculated as this is a continuous process. During the current year in view of the gap in resources expenditure under Plan and Non-Plan has been cut down. This will result in saving of about Rs. 8 crores during the current year.

(c) The Economy Orders will be in force till the Emergency lasts.

MEMBER'S REPRESENTATION

SRI K. LAKKAPPA (Hebbur).—I rise to a point of order. I had submitted a Calling attention Notice regarding veterinary students' strike. The Hon'ble Speaker has directed the Government to give a reply or to make a statement today, and the Food Minister who was present yesterday promised that he would do it today. But I am surprised to know that priority is given to another Calling attention Notice. If there is any sanctity attached to the premise made to this House and also the words of the Hon'ble Speaker, I want to know the reason why my Calling attention Notice is not yet replied to.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ.ಎಸ್.ಗೋವಾಲ ಗೌಡ (ಪೀಣ್ಯಕೆಳ್ಳಿ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಪಶು ಆಪೆಂಡಿಸ್ ನೋಟೀಸು ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮೊದಲೆರೆ ಕಾಲೇಜು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು.....

MR. SPEAKER.—Does not the Hon'ble Member want the Chair to give a ruling on the point of order raised? If there is a point of order, The Chair must give a ruling.

SRI K. LAKKAPPA.—There are so many points of orders which have been raised in this House and decisions on them are still awaited from the Hon'ble Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member must furnish a list in an hour with regard to matters which have been raised by way of points of orders and on which rulings have not been given.

SRI K. LAKKAPPA.—Three days back, Sri C. J. Muckannappa raised a constitutional point of order regarding the portions which are not covered by the Governor's Address. Regarding that also, ruling is not given.

MR. SPEAKER.—Is this a kind of civility that the Member shows? Is that a kind of response the Member shows?

SRI C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—There was a ruling just given by the Hon'ble Speaker that we have to take the report placed on the Table as the report read to the House. There was a ruling. Let not my friend drag my name.

MR. SPEAKER.—Is it not better for this Hon'ble Member to confine to himself rather than invoke names of members who let him down? I want to decide if ever there was a charge that ruling has not been given.

There is not a single matter which has been left open till now. So, I want the Member to tell me if there is any instance where ruling has not been given.

SRI K. LAKKAPPA.—By memory I submit to the Hon'ble Speaker that there are several instances where rulings have not been given because it may be either in the interest of this House or in the interest of the Members that these rulings might have been withheld by the Hon'ble Speaker. Or for want of time, rulings might have been withheld. I will submit in detail if the Hon'ble Speaker directs me to do so.

MR. SPEAKER.—Does the Hon'ble Member still maintain that there are points of orders raised and not ruled upon ? If his memory is so bad, he must not venture to assert and disturb the even course of the work in the House. Without having a single instance, he says a large number of points of order. I will give him time till tomorrow. If he furnishes a list, I will try todeal with them. Otherwise, I will certainly call for an explanation from this Member. The point of order now raised is absolutely irrelevant and unfounded. If a calling attention notice is given and if it is admitted and if there is a delayed answer after admission, it cannot be a matter for raising a point of order. There cannot be a point of order regarding the procedure.

SRI K. LAKKAPPA.—The Hon'ble Minister has promised this House to give a reply today, but he has not done so.

MR. SPEAKER.—Is that a point of order ?

SRI K. LAKKAPPA.—Is there any sanctity attached to this House ?

MR. SPEAKER.—This particular member seems to have some notions of sanctity peculiar to his mind. He should not inflict it on others. What does he mean by sanctity ?

SRI K. LAKKAPPA.—The words of the Minister on the Floor of the House have got some respect. They have to be fulfilled.

MR. SPEAKER.—On the other hand, the Member is committing breach of orders in series, one after another. He has no business to raise points not covered by the Agenda before the House. He should not have done it. The Members must behave better.

CALLING ATTENTION NOTICE :

Re : The Agitation by the Free Water Supply Action Committee

SRI S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—Sir, I desire to call the attention of the Minister for Development, Panchayat Raj and Municipal Administration to the agitation by the Water Supply Action Committee.

SRI R. M. PATIL (Minister for Municipal Administration).—In reply to the Call Attention I beg to state a few words. It is absolutely essential to know the history of the water supply to the city of Bangalore. From that point of view I will give details under which circumstance the problem has arisen.